

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/814,982	03/30/2004	Valery M. Dubin.	070702009500	8631
Raj S. Dave	7590 12/19/2009	EXAMINER		
Morrison & Do			JUNG, UNSU	
1650 Tysons Blvd., Suite 300 McLean, VA 22102		4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		12/19/2006	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055	10/814,982	DUBIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Unsu Jung	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au	iaust 2006.					
, <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
200 m. aliabilea acianda emed acian for a not of the dorinion dopino flot rodoffod.						
*						
A44-a-h						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						
, apor motorman bate	ر					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-40 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to an apparatus comprising a condensed array addressed device, classified in class 435, subclass 288.7, for example.
  - II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method of functionalizing a sensor element, classified in class 436, subclass 164, for example.
  - III. Claims 33-35, drawn to a method of determining whether a target molecule has coupled to a condensed array addressed device, classified in class 435, subclass 518, for example.
  - IV. Claim 36, drawn to a data structure, classified in class 707, subclass 100, for example.
  - V. Claims 37-39, drawn to a method of fabricating a condensed array addressed device, classified in class 445, subclass 52, for example.
  - VI. Claim 40, drawn to a condensed array addressed device produced by forming vias to connect electrodes to an address line, classified in class 203, subclass 257, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, the apparatus of Group I can be used to separate /isolate a target molecule of interest in a sample.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, the apparatus of Group I can be used to separate /isolate a target molecule of interest in a sample.

Inventions I, IV, and VI are independent and patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the apparatus of Group I includes a spectroscope, which is not required by the inventions of Groups IV and VI. The invention of Group I includes a data structure, which is not required by the inventions of Groups I and VI. The device of Group VI includes vias to connect electrodes to an address line, which are not required

by the inventions of Groups I and IV. Therefore, the inventions of Groups I, IV, and VI have different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, the apparatus of Group I can be used to separate /isolate a target molecule of interest in a sample.

Inventions II, III, and V are independent and patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the method of Group II includes a step of functionalizing each of sensor elements to interact with a target molecule, which is not required by the methods of Groups III and V. The method of Group III includes a step of determining whether a target molecule has coupled to a condensed array addressed device, which is not required by the methods of Groups II and V. The method of Group V includes a step of forming vias to connect electrodes to an addressed line, which is not required by the methods of Groups II and III. Therefore, the methods of Groups II, III, and V have different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Inventions II and IV are directed to a patentably distinct product and process.

Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot

Application/Control Number: 10/814,982

Art Unit: 1641

be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the method of Group II involves functionalization of sensor elements, which is not required by the product of Group IV. The product of Group IV includes a data structure, which is not required by the method of Group II. Therefore, the inventions of Groups II and IV are a patentably distinct product and process.

Inventions II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the product of Group VI can be used to separate /isolate a target molecule of interest in a sample.

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the data structure of Group IV can be used in diagnostic methods to detect a particular disease of interest.

Inventions III and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Art Unit: 1641

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the product of Group VI can be used to separate /isolate a target molecule of interest in a sample.

Inventions IV and V are directed to a patentably distinct product and process. Product and process inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that the product cannot be used in, or made by, the process. See MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06. In the instant case, the product of Group IV includes a data structure, which is not required by the method of Group V. The method of Group V involves fabricating a condensed array addressed device, which is not required by the product of Group IV. Therefore, the inventions of Groups IV and V are a patentably distinct product and process.

Inventions V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product. For example, the process of Group V can be used to make a memory device.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter and searches for one group are not required by the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

### Species Election Within Group I

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I. If Group I is elected, the applicant is required to elect one species from the following list of species.

Infrared Spectroscope Signal (claims 4 and 5)

- A. Electromodulated by applying potential (claim 4)
- B. Photo-modulated by applying modulated UV-VIS signal (claim 5)

The species are independent or distinct because each species of modulating infrared spectroscope signal would require patentably distinct modulation device, which are structurally/functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 6-21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

## Species Election Within Group II

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention II. If Group II is elected, the applicant is required to elect one species from the following list of species.

## Detecting (claims 27-32)

- A. Measuring includes conveying an optical signal via total internal reflection (claim 27)
- B. Measuring electrical property (claims 28-32)

The species are independent or distinct because each species of detecting step would require a different detection method and device in order to perform the detection methods recited in claims 27-32.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 22-26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/814,982 Page 10

Art Unit: 1641

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Unsu Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-8506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,982

Art Unit: 1641

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Unsu Jung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600